IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI GREENVILLE DIVISION

JOHN LAUSHAW, et al.,

**PLAINTIFFS** 

V.

NO. 4:01CV120-P-D

JAMES V. ANDERSON, et al.,

**DEFENDANTS** 

**ORDER DENYING MOTION AS MOOT** 

Plaintiffs, through Mr. Laushaw, have filed a letter motion for injunctive relief. Plaintiffs

were generously afforded a lengthy hearing on November 15, 2006, to address the Islamic inmates'

concerns. Throughout the hearing and at its conclusion, the court instructed the parties, their

respective counsel and Islamic representatives to consult with one another in an effort to collectively

draft proposed modifications to the currently controlling consent order. After the parties have

negotiated in good faith and have reached an agreement, the proposed modified order is to be

presented to the court for review and consideration.

Plaintiffs now complain that the proposed modified order has not been submitted. The court

did not set a finite deadline for submission of the proposed changes. Rather the court expects that

the suggested modifications will be submitted within a reasonable time and reviewed by the court

in due course. Furthermore, the court has been informed that Plaintiffs will be allowed some form

of celebration even in the absence of an amended consent order. At this time, the court does not

intend to grant any further relief than that discussed and described at the hearing. Therefore,

Plaintiffs' motion (docket entry 64) is denied as moot.

So ordered this the 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr.

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE